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State of the art

- Translation to external formal language
  - Warning about resulting semantics
- Testing and debugging models
- Contract based methods
  - OCL Pre/post and invariant
- Symbolic execution
- Execution traces (real or simulated)
- Exhaustive simulation and model checking
- Execution semantics refinement
Open challenges

- **Handling Abstraction Gap**
  - Execution semantics refinement
  - Feedback from lower level checks (for instance by using real execution traces from implementation)
  - Mixing abstraction levels

- **Explicit Handling of Semantics variation points**

- **Providing one golden/reference execution semantics**
  - Guarantees/properties of executable models to check of code generation verifying optimizations, etc.

- **Handling of Non determinism & concurrency**

- **Debugging through different execution paths / restoring state**

- **Give points on how to modify models/semantics to reject observed and undesired behaviors**
Open challenges

- Handling Analysis scalability
- Adoption in industry
- Allowing Execution of partial models
  - Either under specified models
  - Or model with missing parts
- Handling heterogeneous execution
  - Different formalisms for different views
- FMI like common API / platform ?
- Analysis w.r.t model evolution (incremental analysis, property preservation, …)
Long term future work directions

- common API / virtual platform for model execution (*FMI like*)
- *Conceptual models* or *Ontology* to describe/classify solutions (in a meta-language independent manner)
- Modeling level optimizations
Exe 2016 wish list

- SoA survey on “Translation to external formal language”
- Open experimental benchmark to compare different approaches for model execution/analysis
- Industrial feedback on model behavior analysis